

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/887,617	BULAN ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit	
Edna Wong	1753	

All Participants:

(1) Edna Wong.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(2) Diderico van Evi.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 18 February 2004

Time: 9:45 am

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1 and 11

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Authorization for an Examiner's Amendment was granted by Applicants' representative to amend claims 1 and 11. Details of the Examiner's Amendment are set forth herewith.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)